EXHIBIT N

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

This document relates to: The County of Summit, Ohio, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.
Case No. 18-op-45090

MDL No. 2804

Case No. 17-md-2804

Judge Dan Aaron Polster

SUMMIT COUNTY AND CITY OF AKRON, OHIO PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS' INTERROGATORY NUMBERS 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 23, 24, 27 & 29

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Case Management Order in *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, No. 1:17-cv-2804 (Dkt. No. 232), the County of Summit, Ohio and the City of Akron, Ohio (collectively "Plaintiff") hereby responds to Distributor Defendants' Interrogatory Nos. 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 23, 24, 27 & 29 (the "Interrogatories" and, each individually, an "Interrogatory"), as follows:

OBJECTIONS

The following objections apply to each Interrogatory. To the extent that certain specific objections are cited in response to an individual Interrogatory, those specific objections are provided because they are applicable to that specific Interrogatory and are not a waiver of the other objections applicable to information falling within the scope of such Interrogatory.

1. Plaintiff objects to each Interrogatory to the extent they are overly broad, vague, unduly burdensome, seek information that is not relevant to any party's claim or defense, or seek

¹ The Distributor Defendants are AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, Cardinal Health, Inc., and McKesson Corporation (collectively "Distributors").

to impose obligations or require actions beyond those required by the Rules of Civil Procedure, the ESI Protocol entered in this matter or the Local Rules of the United States District Court of the Northern District of Ohio.

- 2. Plaintiff objects to each Interrogatory to the extent they seek information restricted from dissemination pursuant to court order, statute, or regulation. Further, any response made by Plaintiff to the Interrogatories is not intended to waive, and does not constitute any waiver of, any objection to the admissibility, authenticity, competency or relevance of the information produced or identified.
- 3. These responses are made solely for the purpose of and in relation to this action. Each answer is given subject to all appropriate objections, which would require the exclusion at trial of any statement contained or document provided herein. All such objections and the grounds therefore are hereby reserved.
- 4. No admission of any nature whatsoever is to be implied or inferred in these responses. The fact that any of the Interrogatories herein may have been answered should not be taken as an admission or a concession of the existence of any facts set forth or assumed by the Interrogatories, or that such answer constitutes evidence of any fact thus set forth or assumed.
- 5. Plaintiff objects to each Interrogatory to the extent Plaintiff has not yet completed its investigation of the facts relating to this action and has not yet completed its preparation for trial. Accordingly, these responses are necessarily limited in nature, and reflect only that information known to Plaintiff at this time.
- 6. Plaintiff objects to each Interrogatory to the extent they purport to require Plaintiff to produce documents that are in the public domain or otherwise available to Distributors as easily from other sources as from Plaintiff.

- 7. Plaintiff objects to each Interrogatory to the extent they purport to state facts, assumptions, or characterizations that are disputed.
- 8. Plaintiff objects to each Interrogatory to the extent they seek information more appropriately obtained through other methods of discovery.
- 9. Plaintiff objects to each Interrogatory to the extent that they seek information that is proprietary or confidential or that is protected from discovery as attorney work product and attorney-client communication, information gathered or prepared in anticipation of litigation, the public interest privilege, law enforcement privilege, public official privilege, and/or by any other privilege or immunity from disclosure (collectively, "Privileged Information").
- 10. Plaintiff objects to each Interrogatory to the extent they seek confidential investigative, personal, or health information in Plaintiff's possession, custody, or control (collectively, "Confidential Information").
- 11. Whenever in the responses Plaintiff employs the phrase "subject to and without waiving all objections," Plaintiff is responding to the Interrogatory as it may be narrowed by its general and specific objections and without waiver of any objection.
- 12. Any response stating that Plaintiff will produce documents shall be deemed followed by the phrase "as are within Plaintiff's possession, custody, or control."
- 13. Plaintiff objects to each Interrogatory to the extent that they imply the existence of facts or circumstances that do not or did not exist, and to the extent that it states or assumes legal conclusions. In providing these objections and responses, Plaintiff does not admit the factual or legal premise of any Interrogatory.
- 14. Plaintiff objects to each Interrogatory to the extent they seek information that is not within Plaintiff's possession, custody, or control, seek documents that do not already exist, or

which purport to require a response by Plaintiff on behalf of an entity or individual other than Plaintiff.

- 15. Plaintiff reserves the right to supplement, revise, correct, or clarify its responses and objections in the event that additional information becomes available.
- 16. Plaintiff intends to complete its responses by the time agreed upon by the parties for the completion of discovery, or by the date ordered by the Court. Upon request by the requesting party, Plaintiff is willing to meet and confer regarding its responses to the Interrogatories. All final decisions regarding whether any information will be withheld pursuant to any objection shall be made, and notice thereof provided, before the completion of written discovery.
- 17. Plaintiff objects to the Distributors' instruction that: "Each Plaintiff must individually respond to each of these Interrogatories." No federal rule prevents Plaintiff from submitting collective answers to Distributors' collective Interrogatories. Where the responses and objections to these Interrogatories are the same for each Plaintiff, a collective response herein will in no way prejudice Defendants. In each instance where the answers are not the same for each Plaintiff, any differences have been set forth herein with particularity.

NON-WAIVER

- 1. Plaintiff's responses are made without waiving its right to object (on the grounds of relevancy, hearsay, materiality, competency or any other ground) to the use of its responses in any subsequent stage or proceeding in this action or any other action.
- 2. If Plaintiff, in response to any Interrogatory, inadvertently discloses information that is or could be the subject of the objections stated herein, such disclosure is not intended to be, nor is it deemed to be, a waiver of the objections with respect to such information disclosed.

- 3. Plaintiff's failure to object to a specific Interrogatory on a particular ground or grounds shall not be construed as a waiver of its rights to object on any additional grounds.
- 4. Plaintiff responds herein based upon information it has been reasonably able to gather at the time of making these responses. Plaintiff reserves its right to amend and/or to supplement its objections and responses to the Interrogatories, consistent with further investigation and discovery.

SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS

Interrogatory No. 2:

Identify each pharmacy within Your geographical boundaries that placed Suspicious Orders for Prescription Opioids for each year of the Timeframe.

Response:

Plaintiff repeats and reasserts their prior objections and adopt their prior responses to this Interrogatory. Plaintiff objects that this Interrogatory is unduly burdensome to the extent it requests Plaintiff to identify individual pharmacies not at issue in this case. Plaintiff further objects to this request to the extent it calls for information in the Distributors' possession or control, or just as available to Distributors from third-party sources as it may be available to Plaintiff, and places an undue burden on Plaintiff to gather. Nonetheless, Plaintiff has answered and amended this interrogatory previously on May 21, 2018 and June 20, 2015², and identified twenty-nine pharmacies:

² See also "Responses to the Amended and Clarified Discovery Ruling 12 Supplemental Interrogatory Issued to Plaintiffs" dated January 25, 2019 (Pharmacy Interrogatory No. 7 and Distributor Interrogatory No. 23); "Responses to Supplemental Interrogatory Issued in Discovery Ruling 12 to Plaintiffs" dated January 11, 2019 (Pharmacy Interrogatory No. 7 and Distributor Interrogatory No. 23); "Supplemental Amended Responses and Objections to the Manufacturer Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories, Submitted Pursuant to Discover Ruling No. 13" dated December 31, 2018 (Manufacturer Interrogatory No. 6); "Supplemental Objections and Responses to Manufacturer Defendants' Interrogatory Nos. 27/28" dated December 21, 2018; "Supplemental Responses and Objections to Distributor Defendants' Interrogatory Number 3 as Rewritten by Special Master David Cohen" dated December 21, 2018; "Fourth Amended Responses and Objections to Manufacturer Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories" dated

specifically identify each and every instance of opioid diversion or every responsive document.

Plaintiff reserves the right to rely upon and introduce as evidence any and all deposition testimony and exhibits addressing this topic.

Interrogatory No. 12:

Identify every Person likely to have discoverable information related to Your claims, including, but not limited to, every Person upon whom You intend to rely in proving Your claims on summary judgment or at trial, and every Person likely to have discoverable information that supports or contradicts a position or claim that You have taken or intend to take in this action. For every Person named in response to this Interrogatory, state the subject matter of the information possessed by that Person.

Response:

Plaintiff incorporates all prior objections to this interrogatory. Subject to and without waiving all objections, Plaintiff provides the following persons likely to have discoverable information:

Name	Title	Subject Matter
Donna Skoda	Health Commissioner	Public Health
Rich Marountas	Chief Epidemiologist	Public Health
Jackie Pollard	Assistant Community Health Director	Public Health
Leanne Beavers	Director Clinical Health	Public Health
Angela Burgess	Fiscal Officer Public Health	Public Health/Finances
Dr. Doug Smith	Doctor, ADM Chief Clinical Officer, ADM	ADM
Jerry Craig	Executive Director, ADM	ADM
Kim Patton	ADM	ADM
Jen Peveich	ADM	ADM
Aimee Wade	ADM Assoc. Dir. of Clinical Services	ADM
Dr. Lisa Kohler	Chief Medical Examiner, Summit County	Medical Examiner
Dr. George	Chief Deputy Medical Examiner	Medical Examiner
Sterbenz		
Steve Perch	Chief Toxicologist, Medical Examiner's Office	Medical Examiner;
		Toxicology
Gary Guenther	Chief Investigator, Summit County Medical	Medical Examiner
	Examiner's Office	

Name	Title	Subject Matter
Todd Barr	Deputy Medical Examiner	Medical Examiner
Denice DiNapoli	Senior Administrator, Medical Examiner's Office	Medical Examiner
Justin Benner	Forensic Investigator	Medical Examiner
Clarence Dorsey	Forensic Investigator	Medical Examiner
Lauren Fowler	Forensic Investigator	Medical Examiner
Jasmine Griffin	Forensic Investigator	Medical Examiner
Jason Grom	Forensic Investigator	Medical Examiner
Jenna Kolb	Forensic Investigator	Medical Examiner
Amy Schaefer	Supervisor, Forensic Investigators	Medical Examiner
Kelsie Stopak	Forensic Investigator	Medical Examiner
Robert Velten	Forensic Investigator	Medical Examiner
Michael McGill	Forensic Investigator	Medical Examiner
Darin Kearns	Deputy Executive Director of Finance and CFO, Summit County Children Services	Children Services
Julie Barnes	Executive Director, Summit County Children Services	Children Services
Amy Davidson	Deputy Executive Director of Social Services	Children Services
Tracey Mayfield	Department Director of Social Services Programs	Children Services
Sushila Moore	Director of Intake, Children Services	Children Services
Lori Baker-Stella	Deputy, DEA Drug Liaison Officer	Sheriff's Office
Bill Holland	Public Information Office, and Jail Commander, Sheriff's Office	Sheriff's Office
Scott Cottle	Lieutenant, Sheriff Detective Bureau	Sheriff's Office
Stacy Milkey	Administrative Assistant, Sheriff	Sheriff's Office
Carmen Ingram	Deputy, Sheriff Drug Unit	Sheriff's Office
Mike Walsh	Sergeant, Sheriff's Office	Sheriff's Office
Matt Paolino	Captain, Sheriff's Office	Law Enforcement
Brad Gessner	Criminal Division, Summit County's Prosecutor's Office	Courts
Getta Kutuchief	Education and Community Outreach Coordinator, Juvenile Court	Courts
Lisa DiSabato- Moore	Special Projects Administrator, Juvenile Court	Courts
Becky Ryba	Coordinator Family Reunification through	Courts
	Recovery Court, Juvenile Court	Courts
Kathryn VanHorn	Crossroads Supervisor, Juvenile Court	Courts
Howard Curtis	Chief Probation Officer, Juvenile Court	Courts
Joseph McAleese	Assistant Prosecutor	Courts
Jon Baumoel	Assistant Prosecutor	Courts
Brian Nelsen	Director of Finance and Budget	Executive/Finances
Greta Johnson	Asst. Chief of Staff for the Summit County Executive	Executive

Name	Title	Subject Matter
Lori Pesci	Deputy Director, Division of Public Safety	Executive
Chief Clarence	Chief of Fire Division	Fire/EMS
Tucker		
Deputy Chief	Deputy Chief of Fire Division	Fire/EMS
Charles Twigg		
District Chief	District Chief / EMS Bureau Manager	Fire/EMS
Joseph Natko		
Robert Ross	Formerly Deputy Mayor for Public Safety, Fire Chief	Fire/EMS
Cpt. Leon	Captain, Safety Communications	Fire/EMS
Henderson		
Cpt. Chris Karakis	Captain, EMS Bureau Manager	Fire/EMS
District Chief Jim	District Chief, formerly Captain, EMS Bureau	Fire/EMS
Willoughby	Manager	
Lt. Joseph	Lieutenant, Fire/EMS	Fire/EMS
Shumaker		
Guy Randall	Fire/EMS medic, training	Fire/EMS
Dale Evans	Formerly Deputy Chief, EMS Bureau Manager	Fire/EMS
Gaiser, Les	Formerly Captain	Fire/EMS
Ed Hiltbrand	Chief of Fire Division	Fire/EMS
Albert Minnich	Fire/EMS Medic	Fire/EMS
Rich Vober	Deputy Chief	Fire/EMS
Patrick Leonard	Police,	Law Enforcement
	Narcotics / Diversion Unit	
Chief Kenneth	Police Chief, formerly Deputy Chief,	Law Enforcement
Ball	Investigative Subdivision	
Officer Michael	Officer, Narcotics Unit, opioid heroin overdose	Law Enforcement
Schmidt	death investigator	
Cpt. Michael	Captain, Narcotics, SNUDS, Vice Subdivision	Law Enforcement
Shearer		
Deputy Chief	Deputy Chief, Uniform Subdivision, formerly	Law Enforcement
Michael Caprez	Deputy Chief, Communications Subdivision	
Deputy Chief Jesse	Deputy Chief, Investigative Subdivision,	Law Enforcement
Leeser	formerly Captain, Technical Services Bureau	I F C
Lt. Rick Edwards	Police Information Officer	Law Enforcement
Charles Brown	Deputy Mayor for Public Safety, Assistant to the Mayor	Public Safety
Gert Wilms	Chief Prosecutor	Courts
Montrella Jackson	Court Administrator, Akron Municipal Court	Courts
Craig Morgan	Deputy Prosecutor	Courts
Jeff Sturmi	Deputy Chief Probation Officer	Courts
Tony Ingram	Chief Probation Officer	Probation
Teresa Albanese	Assistant to the Mayor for Education, Health, and Families	Executive

Name	Title	Subject Matter
Craig Gilbride	Formerly Deputy Mayor for Public Safety,	Executive
	Chief of Police	
Lt. Sierjie Lash	Public Information Officer	Executive
Diane Miller-	Finance Director	Finance
Dawson		
Steve Fricker	Deputy Director of Finance	Finance

Name	Title	General description
Dr. William Reed	Doctor	Visited by drug reps: Nucynta,
		Purdue, Actiq, Opana, Kadian
Dr. William Lonsdorf	Doctor	Visited by Purdue, Opana,
		Nucynta,
Dr. Kendrick Bashor	Doctor	Visited by drug reps: Purdue
Dr. Michael Louwers	Doctor	Visited by drug reps: Purdue,
		Xtampza, Nucynta, Actiq/Fentora
Dr. Syed Ali	Doctor	Visited by drug reps: Purdue,
		Xtampza, Nucynta-
		Janssen/Depomed, Teva, Subsys,
		Endo, Cephalon, Xalgo, Kadian,
		Insys
Dr. Clayton Seiple	Doctor	Visited by drug reps: Purdue.
		Was a speaker for Endo, Depomed
		(Nucynta)
Bernie Rochford	Executive Vice President of	Treatment center for those
	Administrative Services and	suffering from OUD,
	Business Relations, Oriana House	knowledgeable on trends,
		prevalence and impact of opioids
Galen Sievert	Clinical Supervisor, Mature	Treatment center for those
	Services	suffering from OUD,
		knowledgeable on trends,
		prevalence and impact of opioids
Laura Kidd	Behavioral Health Clinical	Treatment center for those
	Coordinator, AxessPointe	suffering from OUD,
	Community Health Center at	knowledgeable on trends,
	Arlington	prevalence and impact of opioids
James Orlando	President of Summit	Treatment center for those
	Psychological Associates	suffering from OUD,
		knowledgeable on trends,
		prevalence and impact of opioids
Brittney Becker	Doctor, Community Health	Treatment center for those
	Center	suffering from OUD,
		knowledgeable on trends,
		prevalence and impact of opioids

Name	Title	General description
Michael M. Hughes	President, Summa Health System, Barberton Campus	Illnesses related to opioid use
Joseph P. Myers	Doctor, Vice President of Medical Affairs, Summa Barberton and Summa Wadsworth-Rittman Hospitals	Illnesses related to opioid use
Roslyn Greene	Family member	Personal loss
Charlene Maxen	Pediatric oncologist nurse, Akron Children's Hospital	Personal loss
Travis and Shelly Bornstein	Family member	Personal loss
Dr. Tony Lababidi	Doctor	Visited by drug reps: Purdue, Endo, Janssen
Dr. Laura Novak	Doctor	Visited by drug reps: Purdue
Dr. Adolph Harper	Doctor	Visited by drug reps
Reba McCray	Family member	Personal loss
Josh Vandergriff	Family member	Personal loss
Dr. Ann DiFrangia	Specializes in treatment of substance use disorders	Addiction
Aimee Wade	Family member	Personal loss
Dr. Nicole Labor	Family member	Personal loss & addiction
Greg McNeil	Family member	Personal loss
Romona Harrison	Former receptionist for Dr. Adolph Harper from 2010 through January 2012	Pill mills
Roxann Montgomery	Former sales representative with Purdue Pharma from 2008 to 2012	Sales
Dana Sporaa	Former sales consultant with Endo Pharmaceuticals from July 2006 to June 2013	Sales
Julie Yellin	Former sales consultant with Endo Pharmaceuticals from March 2006 to June 2013	Sales
Lisa McDougall	Former sales representative for Endo Pharmaceuticals from 2004 to 2010	Sales
Carol Panara	Former sales representative for Purdue Pharma from 2008 to January 2013	Sales
Kirk Klaazesz	Former sales supervisor for ParMed Pharmaceuticals from 2011 to 2014	Sales

Name	Title	General description
Gregory Bowman	Former sales specialist for Covidien and Mallinckrodt from 2010 to 2014	Sales
Richard Bradley Pate	Former pharmacy manager for Walgreens from 2009 to 2014	Diversion
David Schatz	Former sales representative for Purdue Pharma from 2000 to 2001	Sales
William Harris	Former sales representative for Cephalon and Teva from November 2005 to 2012	Sales
Marcia Smith- Anderson	Former pharmacy manager for Walgreens from 2000 to 2012	Diversion
Larry Hunley	Former distribution center manager for McKesson Corporation from 2004 to September 2011	Diversion
Ashley Bhalla	Former sales representative for Purdue Pharma from 2012 to 2018	Sales
Daniel Smith	Former contract sales representative for Mallinckrodt from 2014 to 2015	Sales
Betty Singleton	Former pharmacist with Rite Aid Corporation from January 2010 to October 2017	Industry conduct
Karen Chapman	Former inventory manager for McKesson Corporation from October 1983 to October 2014	Industry conduct
Gertrude Kass	Former sales representative for Purdue Pharma from January 2013 to May 2015	Sales
Russell Portenoy	Executive Director of the MJHS Institute for Innovation in Palliative Care and Chief Medical Officer of MJHS Hospice and Palliative Care	Industry conduct
Alston Hammons	Former pharmacist with CVS from 2006 to 2013	Industry conduct
Martha Davis	Former district manager for Purdue Pharma from 1991 to 2003	Industry conduct
Julie Fuller	Former account manager with AmerisourceBergen Corporation	Industry conduct

Name	Title	General description
	from December 2003 to January 2007	
James Shriner	Former regional sales director for Mallinckrodt from 2002 to 2008	Sales

Plaintiff also identifies all witnesses identified or deposed in this litigation as listed on Exhibit 12A. This list is not intended to be an exhaustive description of all persons with knowledge or all knowledge held by a particular individual or type of individual regarding issues involved in the case. By indicating the general subject matter(s) of discoverable information these individuals may possess, Plaintiff is in no way limiting its right to call other individuals (or entities) to testify concerning other subjects.

Plaintiff specifically reserves the right to rely on these and any other individuals for testimony in any trial or in a summary judgement motion in this action, and is not limited to the individuals listed herein. Plaintiff reserves its right to amend or supplement this response based on facts learned in expert discovery, third party discovery, or otherwise discoverable in this litigation prior to trial.

Interrogatory No. 14:

State the number of pills or other dosage units of Prescription Opioids that were diverted from legitimate medical purposes in Your geographic boundaries, and the number of pills or other dosage units of Prescription Opioids that were dispensed for other than legitimate medical purposes in Your geographic boundaries for each year during the Timeframe, and describe how each number was calculated.

Response: